

Large Grants Criteria for Rating Application

Assessor	
Number of Proposal	
Author	

Please rate the project in the following areas: (1=strongly disagree, 5=strongly agree)

Please use the following questions to support your critique of The Study Proposal		Strongly Disagree				Strongly Agree
1	The applicant critiques the background literature, justifying the need for the study.	1	2	3	4	5
2.1	The aim(s) and research question(s) are clearly articulated	1	2	3	4	5
2.2	The methods are appropriate to address the aim(s)/answer the research question(s).	1	2	3	4	5
2.3	The projected outcomes are important/relevant to the philosophy of the TDFF	1	2	3	4	5
3	The study timeline seems feasible.	1	2	3	4	5
4	The request for funding is justified with a tabulated breakdown of costs.	1	2	3	4	5
Total score		/30				
Does this application require ethics and/or R&D approval?		Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Comments on strengths of this application:						
Comments on how this application could have been improved:						

Please tick relevant box with your final recommendation:

Definitely fund

Fund if possible

Not good enough