

## Small Grants Criteria for Rating Application

<b>Assessor</b>	
<b>Number of Proposal</b>	
<b>Author</b>	

Please rate the project in the following areas: (1=strongly disagree, 5=strongly agree)

Please use the following questions to support your critique of The Study Proposal		Strongly Disagree				Strongly Agree
<b>1</b>	The applicant critiques the background literature, justifying the need for the study.	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>2.1</b>	The aim(s) and research question(s) are clearly articulated	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>2.2</b>	The methods are appropriate to address the aim(s)/answer the research question(s).	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>2.3</b>	The projected outcomes are important/relevant to the philosophy of the TDFF	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>3</b>	The study timeline seems feasible.	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>4</b>	The request for funding is justified with a tabulated breakdown of costs.	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Total score</b>		<b>/30</b>				
Does this application require ethics and/or R&D approval?		Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	No	<input type="checkbox"/>	
<b>Comments on strengths of this application:</b>						
<b>Comments on how this application could have been improved:</b>						

**Please tick relevant box with your final recommendation:**

Definitely fund

Fund if possible

Not good enough